arXiv:1206.6623v1 [math.DG] 28 Jun 2012

Holonomy algebras of Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

Anton S. Galaev

June 29, 2012

Abstract

A description of holonomy algebras of Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of arbitrary signature is given. As the illustration, the classification of the holonomy algebras of Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature $(2,n)$ is obtained.

1 Introduction

The problem of classification of holonomy algebras of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds seems to be unsolvable. The complete solution exists only in the Riemannian case [4, 1, 6, 14, 9] and in the Lorentzian case [10]. For an arbitrary signature, only the classification of irreducible holonomy algebras is known [4, 9]. The general case cannot be reduced to the irreducible one unless the metric is of the Riemannian signature. For pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature different from Riemannian and Lorentzian ones only some partial case are considered [2, 3, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15].

In this paper we restrict our attention to the holonomy algebras of Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. By now the results existed only in the case of irreducible holonomy algebras and in the Lorentzian signature [12].

We give a description of holonomy algebras of Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of arbitrary signature. As the illustration, we classify holonomy algebras of Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature $(2,n)$. We also indicate examples of Einstein pseudo-Riemannian metrics with different holonomy algebras.

2 Preliminaries

The theory of holonomy algebras of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds can be found e.g. in [6, 9, 14]. Here we collect some facts that will be used below.

Let (M,g) be a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p,q). The holonomy group of (M,g) at a point $x\in M$ is a Lie group that consists of the pseudo-orthogonal transformations given by the parallel displacements along piece-wise smooth loops at the point x, and it can be identified with a Lie subgroup of the pseudo-orthogonal Lie group $\mathrm{O}(p,q)$. The corresponding Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ is called the holonomy algebras. If the manifold M is simply connected, then the holonomy group is connected and it is uniquely defined by the holonomy algebra.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ be a subalgebra. The space of curvature tensors of type $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ R \in \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{c} R(X,Y)Z + R(Y,Z)X + R(Z,X)Y = 0 \\ \text{for all } X,Y,Z \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The above identity is called *the first Bianchi identity.* Let $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the ideal spanned by the images of the elements form $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ is called a Berger subalgebra. From the Ambrose-Singer Theorem it follows that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p,q) is a Berger subalgebra.

A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ is called *weakly-irreducible* if it does not preserve any proper non-degenerate subspace of the pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. By the Wu Theorem [17] any pseudo-Riemannian manifold whose holonomy algebra is not weakly irreducible, can be decomposed (at least locally) in the product of a flat pseudo-Riemannian manifold and of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with irreducible holonomy algebras. In particular, a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is locally indecomposable if and only if its holonomy algebra is weakly irreducible.

Lemma 1 *If a Berger subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ is not weakly irreducible, then there exists an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathbb{R}^{p,q} = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$$

into a direct sum of pseudo-Euclidean subspaces and a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$$

into a direct sum of ideals such that $\mathfrak{g}_i$ annihilates V_j if $i \neq j$ and $\mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_i)$ is a weakly irreducible Berger subalgebra.

Consider the vector space

$$\mathcal{R}^\nabla(\mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ S \in (\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^* \otimes \mathcal{R}(g) \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{c} S_X(Y,Z) + S_Y(Z,X) + S_Z(X,Y) = 0 \\ \text{for all } X,Y,Z \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q} \end{array} \right\}.$$

If a Berger algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ satisfies $\mathcal{R}^\nabla(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *a symmetric Berger algebra.* Any pseudo-Riemannian manifold with such holonomy algebra is automatically locally symmetric. The list of irreducible holonomy algebras of locally symmetric pseud-Riemannian manifolds can be found in [5].

M. Berger classified irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ that satisfy $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\nabla(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$ in [4]. Later this classification was corrected [1], and it was proved that all the obtained algebras can be realized as the holonomy algebras of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds [9, 14]. Here is the list of these algebras: $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$, $\mathfrak{so}(p,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,p)$, $\mathfrak{u}(r,s) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2r,2s)$, $\mathfrak{su}(r,s) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2r,2s)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(r,s) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4r,4s)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(r,s) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4r,4s)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(r,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2r,2r)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(r,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4r,4r)$, $\mathfrak{spin}(7) \subset \mathfrak{so}(8)$, $\mathfrak{spin}(4,3) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4,4)$, $\mathfrak{spin}(7,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(8,8)$, $G_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(7)$, $G^*_{2(2)} \subset \mathfrak{so}(4,3)$, $G_2^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{so}(7,7)$.

Consider now the Einstein condition. For a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ consider the set

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g}) = \{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) |\, \mathrm{Ric}(R)(X,Y) = g(X,Y),\ X,Y \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q}\}.$$

This is an affine space with the corresponding vector space

$$\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) |\, \mathrm{Ric}(R) = 0\}.$$

Let $L(\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the vector subspace spanned by the images of the elements of $R \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g})$. We say that a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ is *a Berger subalgebra of Einstein type* if $L(\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$.

From the Ambrose-Singer Theorem it follows that *the holonomy algebra* $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ *of an Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature* (p,q) *is a Berger subalgebra of Einstein type.*

The list of irreducible holonomy algebras $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ of Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature (p,q) that are not locally symmetric is the following (see e.g. [9]): $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$, $\mathfrak{so}(p,\mathbb{C})\subset\mathfrak{so}(p,p)$, $\mathfrak{u}(r,s)\subset\mathfrak{so}(2r,2s)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(r,s)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)\subset\mathfrak{so}(4r,4s)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(r,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\subset\mathfrak{so}(2r,2r)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(r,\mathbb{C})\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})\subset\mathfrak{so}(4r,4r)$. Note that locally symmetric manifolds with irreducible holonomy algebras are Einstein and not Ricci-flat.

Now, the problem is to classify weakly irreducible and not irreducible holonomy algebras of Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. This is done only for the Lorentzian signature in [12].

Let $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ be a weakly irreducible not irreducible subalgebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a totally isotropic subspace $V\subset\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ of dimension m. Let $(p,q)=(m+r,m+s)$. Let $p_1,...,p_m$ be a basis of V. Choose isotropic vectors $q_1,...,q_m$ such that $g(p_i,q_j)=\delta_{ij}$. Note that there is no canonical choice of these vectors. The vector space spanned by $q_1,...,q_m$ can be identified with V^*. We will denote V by $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let $L\subset\mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s}$ be the orthogonal complement to $\mathbb{R}^m\oplus\mathbb{R}^{m*}$. This subspace can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Let $e_1,...,e_{r+s}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. The basis $p_1,...,p_m,e_1,...,e_{r+s},q_1,...,q_m$ is called a Witt basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s}$. The maximal subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}\subset\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)$ preserving the subspace $\mathbb{R}^m\subset\mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s}$ has the following matrix form:

$$\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}=\left\{\left.\begin{pmatrix} B & -X^tE_{r,s} & C\\ 0 & A & X\\ 0 & 0 & -B^t\end{pmatrix}\right| B\in\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R}),\ A\in\mathfrak{so}(r,s),\ X\in\mathbb{R}^m\otimes\mathbb{R}^{r,s},\ C\in\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^m\right\}.$$

Denote the element given by the above matrix by (B,A,X,C). The non-zero Lie brackets are the following:

$$[(B_1,A_1,0,0),(B_2,A_2,0,0)]=([B_1,B_2]_{\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})},[A_1,A_2]_{\mathfrak{so}(r,s)},0,0),$$

$$[(B,A,0,0),(0,0,X,C)]=(0,0,AX+XB^t,BC+CB^t),$$

$$[(0,0,X,0),(0,0,Y,0)]=(0,0,0,-X^tE_{r,s}Y+Y^tE_{r,s}X).$$

We see that $\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ are subalgebras in $\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}$. The vector subspace

$$\mathcal{N}=\{(0,0,X,0)|X\in\mathbb{R}^m\otimes\mathbb{R}^{r,s}\}\subset\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}$$

is isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{so}(r,s)$-module $\mathbb{R}^m\otimes\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. The ideal

$$\mathcal{C}=\{0,0,0,C|C\in\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^m\}\subset\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}$$

is isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})$-module $\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^m$. Note that $\mathcal{N}\ltimes\mathcal{C}\subset\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ is solvable ideal. We get the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}=\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{so}(r,s)\ltimes(\mathcal{N}\ltimes\mathcal{C}).$$

We will consider projections with respect to this decomposition.

Any subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)$ preserving a totally isotropic subspace of dimension m is conjugated to a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}$.

If the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M,g) of signature $(m+r,m+s)$ preserves a totally isotropic subspace of the tangent space of dimension m, then locally on M there exists coordinates $V^1,...,v^m,x^1,...,x^n,u^1,...,u^m$ $(n=r+s)$ such that the metric g is of the form

$$g=\sum_{a=1}^m 2dv^adu^a+h+\sum_{a=1}^m\sum_{b=1}^n 2A_{ab}dx^adu^b+\sum_{a,b=1}^m H_{ab}du^adu^b,$$

where $h=\sum_{a,b=1}^n h_{ab}(x^1,...,x^n,u^1,...,u^m)dx^adx^b$ is a family of pseudo-Riemannian metrics of signature (r,s) depending on the parameters $u^1,...,u^m$; A_{ab} are functions of $x^1,...,x^n,u^1,...,u^m$, and H_{ab} are functions of all coordinates.

3 The case $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ preserving two complementary isotropic subspaces

Let $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ and suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves two complementary isotropic subspaces $V,V'\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$. Using the metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, the space V' can be identified with the dual space V^*. If we fix a Witt basis $p_1,...,p_n,q_1,...,q_n$ such that $p_1,...,p_n\in V$, and $q_1,...,q_n\in V^*$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is contained in the maximal subalgebra preserving V and V^*:

$$\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)_{\mathbb{R}^n}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}A&0\\0&-A^t\end{array}\right)\middle|A\in\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})\right\}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n).$$

The matrices A define a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$, which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}$ and we write $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$.

Conversely, if we start with a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ we may construct the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)=\mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{R}^n\oplus\mathbb{R}^{n*})$ that preserves $\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^{n*}\subset\mathbb{R}^n\oplus\mathbb{R}^{n*}$. The pseudo-Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n\oplus\mathbb{R}^{n*}$ is given by the pairing.

Holonomy algebras $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ of this type are studied in [3, 2]. Let us formulate some facts from these papers.

Let $R\in\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n))$. If $X,Y\in\mathbb{R}^n$, or $X,Y\in\mathbb{R}^{n*}$, then $R(X,Y)=0$. Next, if $Y\in\mathbb{R}^{n*}$, then the map

$$X\in\mathbb{R}^n\mapsto R(X,Y)$$

belongs to the first prolongation $(\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}))^{(1)}$ of the representation $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Hence, if $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a Berger subalgebra, then $(\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}))^{(1)}\neq 0$. Similarly, the elements of $\mathcal{R}^\nabla(\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n))$ can be described using the second prolongation $(\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}))^{(2)}$. Hence, if $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a Berger subalgebra, and $(\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}))^{(2)}=0$, then it is a symmetric Berger subalgebra.

Next, suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a reductive Lie algebra.

Lemma 1 applied to this case can be formulated in the following way.

Lemma 2 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra and suppose that $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a Berger subalgebra preserving two complementary totally isotropic subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n,n}$. If $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is not irreducible, then there exists a decomposition*

$$\mathbb{R}^n=V_0\oplus V_1\oplus\cdots\oplus V_r$$

and a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{g}_r$$

into a direct sum of ideals such that $\mathfrak{g}_i$ annihilates V_j if $i\neq j$, $\mathfrak{g}_i\subset\mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$ is irreducible, and $\mathfrak{g}_i\subset\mathfrak{so}(V_i\oplus V_i^*)$ is a Berger subalgebra.

This allows to restrict the attention to the case when $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is irreducible. In [3] it is shown that if $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a Berger subalgebra, then $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is either one of the following:

$$\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}),\quad\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R}),\quad\mathfrak{sp}(2m,\mathbb{R}),\quad\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{C}),\quad\mathfrak{sl}(m,\mathbb{C}),\quad\mathfrak{sp}(2k,\mathbb{C}),\quad 2m=4k=n,$$

or $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a a symmetric Berger subalgebra, and it can be found in the list from [5].

Let us apply now the Einstein condition.

Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n,n))$ be as above; let $X \in V$, $Y \in V^*$, then it is easy to calculate

$$\mathrm{Ric}(R)(X,Y) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})} R(X,Y)).$$

This shows that if $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is not contained in $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is irreducible, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is either one of $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{C})$ $(n = 2m)$, or $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a weakly irreducible symmetric Berger algebra. Note that all the corresponding symmetric spaces are Einstein and not Ricci-flat. Moreover, $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2m,2m)$ may appear as the holonomy algebras of symmetric spaces. Thus, we have found all Berger algebras of Einstein type $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ such that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is irreducible. Moreover, all these Berger algebras are holonomy algebras of Einstein not Ricci-flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature (n,n).

We have also proved the following statement: let (M,g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (n,n) such that its holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ preserves two complementary totally isotropic subspaces of the tangent space. Then (M,g) is Ricci-flat if and only if $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R})$.

4 Some lemmas

Lemma 3 *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ be an irreducible Berger subalgebra of Einstein type, then $\mathfrak{g}$ contains its centralizer in $\mathfrak{so}(r,s)$.*

Proof. This immediately follows from the classification of irreducible holonomy algebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ of Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. $\square$

Lemma 4 *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ be an irreducible subalgebra such that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a Berger subalgebra of Einstein type, then $\mathfrak{g}$ contains its centralizer in $\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$.*

Proof. As the $\mathfrak{g}$-module the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{so}(n,n) = \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{n*}.$$

The classification shows that the centralizer of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}$. Next, $\mathfrak{g}$ contains $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ that acts as multiplication by 2 and -2 in $\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^{n*}$, respectively.

Lemma 5 *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ be an irreducible subalgebra and $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ is a Berger subalgebra of Einstein type.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ is irreducible, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{k} = L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the complementary ideal. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ is a Berger subalgebra, and $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$. We obtain the decompositions

$$\mathbb{R}^{r,s} = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k, \quad \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{k}_k$$

such that $\mathfrak{k}$ annihilates V_0, and for each i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\mathfrak{k}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_i)$ is an irreducible Berger subalgebra. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{k})$ be non-zero. Let $1 \leq i \leq k$. Consider the restriction

$$\tilde{R} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_i} \circ R|_{\wedge^2 V_i}.$$

It holds

$$g(X,Y)=\mathrm{Ric}(R)(X,Y)=\mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{R})(X,Y),\quad X,Y\in V_i.$$

This shows that $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{k}_i)\neq 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{k}_i\subset\mathfrak{so}(V_i)$ is a Berger subalgebra of Einstein type. Similarly, $g(X,Y)=\mathrm{Ric}(R)(X,Y)=0$ for all $X,Y\in V_0$; this shows that $V_0=0$. We see that the restriction of $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ to each V_i commutes with $\mathfrak{k}_i$. From Lemma 5 it follows that $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}=0$. We get that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{k}_k$. The irreducibility of $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ implies $k=1$ and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}_1$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 6 *Let $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ be an irreducible subalgebra such that for $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ it holds $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g})\neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a Berger subalgebra of Einstein type.*

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.

Since $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is irreducible, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive. Consider the ideal

$$\mathfrak{k}=L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)))\subset\mathfrak{g}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}\subset\mathfrak{g}$ be the complementary ideal. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ is a Berger subalgebra, and $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{k})=\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g})\neq 0$. We obtain the decompositions

$$\mathbb{R}^n=V_0\oplus V_1\oplus\cdots\oplus V_k,\quad \mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{k}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{k}_k$$

such that $\mathfrak{k}$ annihilates V_0, and for each i, $1\leq i\leq k$, $\mathfrak{k}_i\subset\mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$ is irreducible, and $\mathfrak{k}_i\subset\mathfrak{so}(V_i\oplus V_i^*)$ is a Berger subalgebra. Let $R\in\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{k})$ be non-zero. Let $1\leq i\leq k$. Consider the restriction

$$\tilde{R}=\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}_i}\circ R|_{V_i\otimes V_i^*}.$$

It holds

$$g(X,Y)=\mathrm{Ric}(R)(X,Y)=\mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{R})(X,Y),\quad X\in V_i,\quad Y\in V_i^*.$$

This shows that $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{k}_i)\neq 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{k}_i\subset\mathfrak{so}(V_i\oplus V_i^*)$ is a Berger subalgebra of Einstein type. Similarly, $g(X,Y)=\mathrm{Ric}(R)(X,Y)=0$ for all $X\in V_0$ and $Y\in V_0^*$; this shows that $V_0=0$. We see that the restriction of $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ to each V_i commutes with $\mathfrak{k}_i$. From Lemma 4 it follows that $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}=0$. We get that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{k}_k$. The irreducibility of $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ implies $k=1$ and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}_1$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 7 *Let $p_1,...,p_m,e_1,...,e_n,q_1,...,q_m$ be a Witt basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s}$ $(r+s=n)$ and*

$$\xi=(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m},0,X,C)\in\mathfrak{gl}(V)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(r,s)\ltimes(\mathbb{R}^m\otimes\mathbb{R}^{r,s}\ltimes\wedge^2\mathbb{R}^m)=\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)_{\mathbb{R}^m}$$

with respect to this basis. Then there exists another Witt basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s}$ with the same $p_1,...,p_m$, with respect to that

$$\xi=(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m},0,0,0).$$

Proof. Let $p_1,...,p_m,e_1,...,e_n,q_1,...,q_m$ be a Witt basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s}$. Consider the new basis

$$p_i'=p_i,\quad e_a'=e_a+\sum_{i=1}^m D_{ia}p_i,\quad q_i'=q_i+X_i+\sum_{j=1}^m A_{ji}p_j,\quad X_i\in E.$$

Let $A=B+C$ be the decomposition of the matrix A into the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts. The condition that we get again a Witt basis is equivalent to the equalities

$$B_{ij}=-\frac{1}{2}g(X_i,X_j),\quad D_{ia}=-g(X_i,e_a).$$

Let $\eta=(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m},0,0,0)$ with respect to the first basis, then with respect to the second basis, $\eta=(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m},0,-X,-2C))$, where $X_{ai}=-(X_i,e_a)$. It is clear that X and C can be chosen in arbitrary way. This shows that starting with $\xi=(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m},0,X,C)\in\mathfrak{g}$, we may choose a new basis in such a way that $\xi=(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m},0,0,0)\in\mathfrak{g}$. □

5 The general case

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r+m, s+m)$ be a weakly irreducible holonomy algebra of an Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature $(r+m, s+m)$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r+m, s+m)$ preserves a maximal m-dimensional isotropic subspace of the tangent space $\mathbb{R}^{r+m,s+m}$. Then with respect to a proper basis of $\mathbb{R}^{r+m,s+m}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ has the form*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \left(\oplus_{i=1}^{k} \oplus_{\alpha=1}^{t} \mathcal{N}_{i\alpha} \ltimes \oplus_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \mathcal{C}_{ij} \right),$$

where

- *$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (r, s) such that there exist an orthogonal decomposition*

  $$\mathbb{R}^{r,s} = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_t,$$

  and the corresponding decomposition

  $$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{h}_t$$

  such that $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{so}(L_\alpha)$ is an irreducible holonomy algebra of an Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifold;

- *$\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{R})$ is a subalgebra that admits a decomposition*

  $$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_0 \ltimes \hat{\mathfrak{f}},$$

  where $\mathfrak{f}_0 \subset \mathfrak{f}$ is a reductive subalgebra and $\hat{\mathfrak{f}} \subset \mathfrak{f}$ is a solvable ideal; the subalgebra $\mathfrak{f}_0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{R})$, defines the decompositions

  $$\mathbb{R}^m = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k,$$

  $$\mathfrak{f}_0 = \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{f}_k$$

  such that $\mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$ is irreducible and the corresponding weakly irreducible subalgebra $\mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_i \oplus V_i^*)$ is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifold of neutral signature; farther more,

  $$\hat{\mathfrak{f}} = \oplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \mathfrak{f}_{ij},$$

  where $\mathfrak{f}_{ij} \subset V_j^* \otimes V_i$ is a $\mathfrak{f}_i \oplus \mathfrak{f}_j$-submodule; thus $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{R})$ has the following structure:

  $$\mathfrak{f} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} A_1 & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1\,k-1} & A_{1k} \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{2\,k-1} & A_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_k \end{array} \right) \middle| \, A_i \in \mathfrak{f}_i, \, A_{ij} \in \mathfrak{f}_{ij} \right\};$$

- *$\mathcal{N}_{i\alpha}$ is an $\mathfrak{f}_i \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$-submodule of $V_i \otimes L_\alpha$; for each i there exists α such that $\mathcal{N}_{i\alpha} \neq 0$;*

- *$\mathcal{C}_{ij}$ is an $\mathfrak{f}_i \oplus \mathfrak{f}_j$-submodule of $V_i \wedge V_j$ if $i \neq j$, and $C_{ii} \subset \wedge^2 V_i$ is an $\mathfrak{f}_i$-submodule;*

- *it holds*

  $$[\mathfrak{f}_{li}, \mathfrak{f}_{ij}] \subset \mathfrak{f}_{lj}, \quad [\mathfrak{f}_{li}, \mathcal{C}_{ij}] \subset \mathcal{C}_{lj}, \quad [\mathfrak{f}_{li}, \mathcal{N}_{i\alpha}] \subset \mathcal{N}_{l\alpha}, \quad [\mathcal{N}_{i\alpha}, \mathcal{N}_{j\alpha}] \subset \mathcal{C}_{ij}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.

In the proof of the theorem we will use only the property $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$.

The following lemma gives the form of the projection $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(r,s)}\,\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 8 *There exists an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathbb{R}^{r,s} = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_t,$$

and the corresponding decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{h}_t$$

such that $\mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(L_i)$ is an irreducible holonomy algebra of an Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifold.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^{r+m,s+m}$ is the maximal totally isotropic subspace preserved by $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ does not preserve any totally isotropic subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, and consequently $\mathfrak{h}$ does not preserve any degenerate subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. This shows that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a reductive Lie algebra. Hence, we get an $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^{r,s} = U_0 \oplus U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_k$$

such that $\mathfrak{h}$ annihilates U_0 and the induced representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ on U_i is irreducible for $1 \leq i \leq k$. For each i, let $\mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the ideal annihilating $U_i^{\perp}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_i)$. We obtain the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{h}_k \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}},$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ is the complementary ideal to $\mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{h}_k$. Elements of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ act simultaneously in several U_i. Note that $\mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_i)$ a priori must not be irreducible.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the subalgebra generated by the images of the tensors

$$\tilde{R} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(r,s)} \circ R|_{\Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^{r,s}} \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{h}), \quad R \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Clearly, $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ is a Berger subalgebra. It is easy to see that if $R \in \mathcal{R}_1(g)$ and $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, then

$$g(X,Y) = \mathrm{Ric}(R)(X,Y) = \mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{R})(X,Y).$$

This implies that $\tilde{h}$ does not annihilate any non-degenerate subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ is a Berger subalgebra, we obtain the decompositions

$$\mathbb{R}^{r,s} = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k,$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_k,$$

where each $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(W_i)$ is a weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebra.

Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$, each U_i is the direct sum of some number of W_j, and the corresponding $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_j$ are contained in $\mathfrak{h}_i$. In particular, all $\mathfrak{h}_i$ are non-trivial and do not annihilate any non-trivial subspace of U_i, and $U_0 = 0$. More over, $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{h}_i) \neq 0$. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{t}_i = L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_i)) \subset \mathfrak{h}_i$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_i \subset \mathfrak{h}_i$ be the complementary ideal, then

$$\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{t}_i \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_i.$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{t}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_i)$ is a Berger subalgebra.

Since U_i is the direct sum of some number of W_j, $\mathfrak{t}_i$ contains the corresponding $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_j$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_j \subset \mathfrak{so}(W_j)$ is weakly-irreducible, we see that $\mathfrak{t}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_i)$ does not annihilate any non-trivial subspace of U_i. We get the decompositions

$$U_i = U_{i1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{it_1}, \quad \mathfrak{t}_i = \mathfrak{t}_{i1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{it_i},$$

where $\mathfrak{t}_{i\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_{i\alpha})$ is a weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebra of Einstein type.

By the construction, each $t_{i\alpha}$ is a reductive Lie algebra, hence either $\mathfrak{t}_{i\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_{i\alpha})$ is irreducible, or it preserves two complementary totally isotropic subspaces. By Lemmas 3 and 4 the induced action of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_j$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ on each $U_{\imath\alpha}$ is trivial, i.e. $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$ and all $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_j = 0$. Hence, $\mathfrak{h} = \oplus_{i,\alpha}\mathfrak{t}_{i\alpha}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ does not annihilate any degenerate subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, each $\mathfrak{t}_{i\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_{i\alpha})$ is irreducible. We obtain the required decompositions $\mathbb{R}^{r,s} = \oplus_{i,\alpha}U_{i\alpha}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \oplus_{i,\alpha}\mathfrak{t}_{i\alpha}$. $\square$

Now we find the form of the projection $\mathfrak{f} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})}\,\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 9 *There exists a decomposition* $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_0 \ltimes \hat{\mathfrak{f}}$, *where* $\mathfrak{f}_0 \subset \mathfrak{f}$ *is a reductive subalgebra and* $\hat{\mathfrak{f}} \subset \mathfrak{f}$ *is a solvable ideal. It holds* $\mathfrak{f}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$. *Next, there is a decomposition*

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k, \tag{1}$$

and the corresponding decomposition

$$\mathfrak{f}_0 = \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{f}_k \tag{2}$$

such that $\mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$ *is irreducible and the corresponding weakly irreducible subalgebra* $\mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_i \oplus V_i^*)$ *is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifold of neutral signature.*

Moreover, as the vector space,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{f}} = \oplus_{1\leq i<j\leq k}\mathfrak{f}_{ij},$$

each $\mathfrak{f}_{ij}$ *takes* V_j *to* V_i *and annihilates* V_l, $l \neq j$.

Proof. Suppose that the representation of $\mathfrak{f}$ on V preserves a vector subspace $V_1 \subset V$. We may assume that V_1 does not contain any proper invariant subspace, i.e. the induced representation of $\mathfrak{f}$ on V_1 is irreducible. Let $V_1' \subset V$ be any complementary subspace, i.e. $V = V_1 \oplus V_1'$. The matrices of $\mathfrak{f}$ are of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$. That is $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_1') \ltimes (V_1')^* \otimes V_1$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_1 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{f}$ with respect to that decomposition. By the construction, $\mathfrak{f}_1 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$ is irreducible. Consequently, $\mathfrak{f}_1$ is a reductive Lie algebra. Consider the decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s} = V_1 \oplus L' \oplus V_1^*,$$

where $L' = V_1' \oplus L \oplus (V_1')^*$. We get

$$\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L') \ltimes (V_1 \otimes L' \ltimes \wedge^2 V_1).$$

Let $R \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ be a non-zero element. Consider

$$\tilde{R} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V_1)} \circ R|_{V_1 \otimes V_1^*} \in \mathcal{R}_1(f_1 \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_1 \oplus V_1^*)).$$

It is easy to see that if $X \in V_1$, and $Y \in V_1^*$, then

$$g(X,Y) = \mathrm{Ric}(R)(X,Y) = \mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{R})(X,Y).$$

This implies that $\tilde{R} \neq 0$. By Lemma 6, $\mathfrak{f}_1 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$ is a Berger subalgebra of the Einstein type; in Section 3 we noted that any such algebra is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifold. From results of Section 3 it follows that $\mathfrak{f}_1$ contains id_{V_1}.

We claim that $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V_1)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(L')}\,\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(L')}\,\mathfrak{g}$. In other words, the ideal

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{f}_1 \cap \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V_1)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(L')}\,\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{f}_1$$

coincides with $\mathfrak{f}_1$.

Using the Bianchi identity it is easy to get

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V_1)} \circ R|_{\Lambda^2 L'} = 0, \quad \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(L')} \circ R|_{V_1\otimes V_1^*} = 0. \tag{3}$$

The first of these equalities shows that the above defined tensor $\tilde{R}$ takes values in $\mathfrak{k}$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1 \oplus V_1^*)) \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ be the ideal complementary to $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{f}_1$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$ is irreducible. Since $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1 \oplus V_1^*)) \neq 0$, we see that by Lemma 6, $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1 \oplus V_1^*)$ is a Berger subalgebra of the Einstein type. Note that $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}} \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V_1)}\mathfrak{k}$. By Lemma 4, $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}} \subset \mathfrak{k}$. Thus, $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}} = 0$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$ is not irreducible. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{t} = L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_1 \oplus V_1^*))) \subset \mathfrak{k}$. We see that $\mathfrak{t}$ is not trivial, $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_1 \oplus V_1^*)$ is a Berger subalgebra, and $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_1 \oplus V_1^*)) \neq 0$. By the above arguments with the Ricci tensor, $\mathfrak{t}$ does not annihilate any non-trivial subspace of V_1. We obtain the decompositions

$$V_1 = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_a, \quad \mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{t}_a,$$

where for each i, $\mathfrak{t}_i \subset \mathfrak{gl}(U_i)$ is irreducible and $\mathfrak{t}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_i \oplus U_i^*)$ is a Berger subalgebra. By the argument with the Ricci tensor, $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{t}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_i \oplus U_i^*)) \neq 0$. By Lemma 6, $\mathfrak{t}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(U_i \oplus U_i^*)$ is a Berger subalgebra of the Einstein type. This implies that $\mathfrak{t}_i$ contains id_{U_i}. Then $\mathfrak{f}_1$ contains the elements id_{U_1} and id_{U_2}, which by the construction commute with $\mathfrak{f}_1$. This contradicts the Schur Lemma applied to the irreducible representation $\mathfrak{f}_1 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$. Thus, $\mathfrak{f}_1 = \mathfrak{k}$ and the claim is proved.

From Lemma 4 it follows that $\mathrm{id}_{V_1} \in \mathfrak{f}_1$. From this and the above claim it follows that $(\mathrm{id}_{V_1}, 0, X, C) \in \mathfrak{g}$ for some $X \in V_1 \otimes L'$ and $C \in \wedge^2 V_1$. Lemma 7 shows that we may change the subspaces $L', V_1^* \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s}$ in such a way that $(\mathrm{id}_{V_1}, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Note that under this change the projection $\mathrm{pr}\,\mathfrak{gl}(V_1)\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f}_1$ does not change; the new space L' is isomorphic to the old one, and the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(L')}\,\mathfrak{g}$ does not change if we use this isomorphism as the identification. Let $A \in \mathfrak{f}_1$. Then $(A, , 0, X, C) \in \mathfrak{g}$ for some $X \in V_1 \otimes L'$ and $C \in \wedge^2 V_1$. Taking the Lie brackets with of this element with $(\mathrm{id}_{V_1}, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$, we get that $(0, 0, X, 2C) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Taking the Lie brackets again, we get $(0, 0, X, 4C) \in \mathfrak{g}$. We conclude that $\mathfrak{f}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Similarly, $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(L')}\,\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(L')}\,\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{so}(L')$; and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{so}(L')) \ltimes ((\mathfrak{g} \cap (V_1 \otimes L')) \ltimes (\mathfrak{g} \cap \wedge^2 V_1)).$$

We consider now the intersection

$$\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{so}(L') \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V') \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L') \ltimes (V' \otimes L' \ltimes \wedge^2 V_1).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 8, it is easy to show that $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{so}(L')) \neq 0$. Consider the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V')}(\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{so}(L')) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V')$. If it is not irreducible, then it preserves a subspace $V_2 \subset V'$ such that the induced representation on V_2 is irreducible. We choose a complementary subspace $V_2' \subset V'$. Then we apply the above consideration to this settings. We will get a similar result for $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{so}(L')$ as for $\mathfrak{g}$ above. In particular, $\mathfrak{f}_2 = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(V_2)}(\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{so}(L')) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_2)$ is irreducible and it satisfies the same properties as $\mathfrak{f}_1$.

We continue this process. At the last stage we will see that $\mathfrak{f}$ acts irreducibly on V'_{k-1} for some k. We set $V_k = V'_{k-1}$. We get the $\mathfrak{f}$-invariant decomposition

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$$

and irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$ that are contained in $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$; in particular, the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{f}_i$ are reductive.

The representation of $\mathfrak{f}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ is given by matrices of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i \in \mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{f}_0 = \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{f}_k \subset \mathfrak{f}.$$

Since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}_0$ is reductive, there exists a complementary subspace $\hat{\mathfrak{f}} \subset \mathfrak{f}$ with respect to the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{f}_0$ on $\mathfrak{f}$. The above consideration shows that the elements of $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}$ are given by the stars in the matrix A. In particular, $\hat{\mathfrak{f}} \subset \mathfrak{f}$ is an ideal. Clearly, $\hat{\mathfrak{f}} \subset \oplus_{1\leq i<j\leq k} V_j^* \otimes V_i$. We get the semidirect sum $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{f}_1$. Consider the representation of $\mathfrak{f}_0$ on $\oplus_{1\leq i<j\leq k} V_j^* \otimes V_i$; on each subspace $V_{ij} = V_j^* \otimes V_i$ it is given by the tensor product of the representations $\mathfrak{f}_j \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_j^*)$ and $\mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$. Since all subalgebras $\mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$ are irreducible, we see that different V_{ji} and V_{ls} do not contain isomorphic $\mathfrak{f}$-modules. This shows that $\hat{\mathfrak{f}} = \oplus_{1\leq i<j\leq k} \mathfrak{f}_{ij}$, where $\mathfrak{f}_{ij} = \hat{\mathfrak{f}} \cap V_{ij}$. This proves the lemma. $\Box$

Now we consider $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{so}(r,s)}\, \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 10 *It holds* $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{so}(r,s)}\, \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{so}(r,s)}\, \mathfrak{g}$. The facts that $\mathfrak{f}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ is a reductive Lie algebra, and $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}$ does not contain non-zero elements commuting with $\mathfrak{f}_0$, imply the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{f}_0 \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{f}} \oplus \mathfrak{h},$$

i.e. $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. $\Box$

Lemma 11 *It holds* $\mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. We have already seen that for each i it holds $\mathrm{id}_{V_i} \in \mathfrak{f}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Hence, $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \in \mathfrak{f}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let $A \in \mathfrak{f}$. From Lemma 10 it follows that $(A, 0, X, C) \in \mathfrak{g}$ for some $X \in \mathcal{N}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Taking the Lie brackets of ξ with that element, we get $(0, 0, X, 2C) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Taking the Lie brackets again, we get $(0, 0, X, 4C) \in \mathfrak{g}$. We conclude that $(A, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. $\Box$

From the last two lemmas it follows that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \ltimes (\mathfrak{g} \cap (\mathcal{N} \ltimes \mathcal{C}))$. As in the previous lemma, we may show that if $(0, 0, X, C) \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $(0, 0, X, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $(0, 0, 0, C) \in \mathfrak{g}$, that is $\mathfrak{g} \cap (\mathcal{N} \ltimes \mathcal{C}) = (\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{N}) \ltimes (\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{C})$.

Next, as $\mathfrak{f}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}$-module, $\mathcal{N} = \oplus_{i,\alpha} V_i \otimes L_\alpha$. It is clear that these $\mathfrak{f}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}$-modules are pairwise non-isomorphic, hence, $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{N} = \oplus_{i,\alpha} \mathcal{N}_{i\alpha}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{i\alpha} = \mathfrak{g} \cap (V_i \otimes L_\alpha)$. Similarly, as the $\mathfrak{h}$-module, $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{i\leq j} V_i \wedge V_j$, and $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{C} = \oplus_{i\leq j} \mathcal{C}_{ij}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \mathfrak{g} \cap (V_i \wedge V_j)$.

Finally, if for some α, all $\mathcal{N}_{i\alpha}$ are zero, then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the non-degenerate subspace $L_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^{r+m,s+m}$, this gives a contradiction, since $\mathfrak{g}$ is weakly irreducible. The theorem is proved. $\Box$

Example 1. From Theorem 1 it follows that any weakly irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ of a Lorentzian manifold of dimension $n+2$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein Riemannian manifold. This result is obtained in [12]. Moreover, in [12] all these algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ are realized as the holonomy algebras of Einstein manifolds. Let $\Lambda \neq 0$. The required metric is the following

$$g = 2dvdu + h + (\Lambda v^2 + H_0)(du)^2,$$

where $v, x^1, ..., x^n, u$ are coordinates on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, $h = h_{ij}(x^1, ..., x^n)dx^i dx^j$ is an Einstein Riemannian metric with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ and cosmological constant Λ, and $H_0 = H_0(x^1, ..., x^n)$ is a function satisfying $\Delta_h H_0 = 0$, where Δ_h is the Laplacian of the metric h. It is required that H_0 has non-zero Hessian in order make the metric to be indecomposable.

The idea of this construction is the following. Let g_0 be the metric g without H_0. Then g_0 is the direct product of the Einstein Lorentzian manifold of dimension 2 with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{so}(1, 1)$ preserving two complement isotropic lines and of a Riemannian manifold with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h}$; the cosmological constant of each of these manifolds is Λ. The function H_0 is added in order to add the subspace $\mathbb{R}^n$ to the holonomy algebra. The curvature tensor of g equals to the curvature tensor of g_0 with the additional term that takes values in $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathfrak{g}$. This additional term is given by a symmetric endomorphism of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, the Ricci tensor of the additional term must be zero, and this gives the equation $\Delta_h H_0 = 0$.

6 Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of index 2

Theorem 2 *Weakly irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras* $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)$ *of Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature* $(2, n+2)$ *are exhausted by the following algebras:*

1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)_{\mathbb{R}}$, *where* $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ *is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein Lorentzian manifold that is the direct sum of an* $\mathfrak{so}(1, l+1)$ *and a holonomy algebra of an Einstein Riemannian manifold;*

2) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \ltimes (\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \ltimes \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, *where* $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ *is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein Riemannian manifold;*

3) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \ltimes (\oplus_{\alpha=1}^t \mathcal{N}_\alpha \ltimes \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, *where* $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ *is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein Riemannian manifold;* $\mathcal{N}_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes L_\alpha$ *is a non-trivial subspace; if* $\mathcal{N}_\alpha \neq \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes L_\alpha$, *then* $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{u}(L_\alpha)$ *and either* $\mathcal{N}_\alpha \simeq L_\alpha$, *or* $\mathcal{N}_\alpha \simeq \bar{L}_\alpha$*;*

4) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \ltimes (\oplus_{\alpha=1}^t \mathcal{N}_{1\alpha} \oplus \oplus_{\alpha=1}^t \mathcal{N}_{2\alpha} \ltimes \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, *where* $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ *is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein Riemannian manifold; each* $\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha}, \mathcal{N}_{2\alpha} \subset L_\alpha$ *is either* L_α *or* 0*; for each* α, *at least one of* $\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha}$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}$ *equals to* L_α*;*

5) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \ltimes (\oplus_{\alpha=1}^t \mathcal{N}_{1\alpha} \oplus \oplus_{\alpha=1}^t \mathcal{N}_{2\alpha} \ltimes \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, *where*

$$\mathfrak{f} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & c \\ 0 & b \end{array} \right) \middle| a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ *is the holonomy algebra of an Einstein Riemannian manifold;* $\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha} = L_\alpha$, $\mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}$ *is either* L_α *or* 0*;*

6) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2)_{\mathbb{R}^2}$*;*

7) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2)_{\mathbb{R}^2}$.

Proof. Suppose that the maximal dimension of a totally isotropic subspace preserved by $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)$ is one, then from Theorem 1 it follows that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the first algebra from the statement of the theorem.

In other cases $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a totally isotropic subspace of dimension 2. Suppose that $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is irreducible. Then either $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})$. By Theorem 1, $\mathcal{N} = \oplus_{\alpha=1}^{t}$, and each $\mathcal{N}_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes L_\alpha$ is a non-trivial $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$-submodule. The space $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes L_\alpha$ can be considered as the complexification $\mathbb{C} \otimes L_\alpha$ of L_α. This $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\alpha = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$-module is irreducible if and only if the complexified representation $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C} \otimes L_\alpha)$ is irreducible. This is equivalent to the non-existence of a complex structure on L_α. In the opposite case $\mathbb{C} \otimes L_\alpha \simeq L_\alpha \oplus \bar{L}_\alpha$. We obtain the third algebra.

If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, then each $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$-module $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes L_\alpha$ is irreducible. This follows from the previous case since $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. We obtain the second algebra.

If in the above two cases $r + s = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{C} = 0$, then we get the algebras 6) and 7).

Suppose that $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is not irreducible. Then either $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R})$, or $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{f}_{12}$, where $\mathfrak{f}_{12} = \mathbb{R}$.

From Theorem 1 it follows that $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{N} = \oplus_{\alpha=1}^{t} \mathcal{N}_{1\alpha} \oplus \oplus_{\alpha=1}^{t} \mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}$, where each $\mathcal{N}_{i\alpha}$ is either 0, or L_α. Next, at least one of $\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha}$ or $\mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}$ is non-zero, i.e. it equals to L_α. Suppose that for some α $\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha} = \mathcal{N}_{2\alpha} = L_\alpha$, then $[\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha}, \mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}] = \mathcal{C} = \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^2$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{C} = 0$, then for each α either $\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha} = 0$, or $\mathcal{N}_{2\alpha} = 0$. Consequently $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the non-degenerate subspaces $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \oplus_{\mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}=0} L_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{R}q_1$, and $\mathbb{R}p_2 \oplus \oplus_{\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha}=0} L_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{R}q_2$. This shows that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\oplus_{\mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}=0} \mathfrak{h}_\alpha \ltimes L_\alpha) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\oplus_{\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha}=0} \mathfrak{h}_\alpha \ltimes L_\alpha)$$

is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded of length 1.

If $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{C} \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded of length 2, hence it can not preserve a non-degenerate Lorentzian signature. Clearly $\mathfrak{g}$ can not preserve any Euclidean subspace. Thus, $\mathfrak{g}$ is weakly irreducible. We obtain the algebra 4).

Let $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{f}_{12}$. Since $[\mathfrak{f}_{12}, \mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}] = \mathcal{N}_{1\alpha}$, we see that $\mathcal{N}_{1,\alpha} = L_\alpha$ for all α. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{C} = 0$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{2,\alpha} = 0$ for all α. In this case $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the isotropic subspace spanned by the vectors p_1 and q_2. If we consider the vectors p_1, q_2, q_1, p_2 instead of p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, the we get the algebra 4) ($\mathfrak{f}_{12}$ becomes $\mathcal{C}$).

If $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{C} \neq 0$, then we get the algebra 5). The fact that it is weakly irreducible follows from the above case.

Examples of metrics. To complete the proof of Theorem 2 we should show that each of the algebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)$ from the statement of the theorem may appear as the holonomy algebra of an Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifold. The metrics can be constructed using the idea of Example 1.

For the case of the first algebra, the metric can be taken as in Example 1 with h to be an Einstein Lorentzian metric with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$.

For the last two algebras from the theorem there exist e.g. symmetric metrics with these holonomy algebras.

Let h be a Riemannian Einstein metric with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ and cosmological constant Λ. The de Rham decomposition implies that the coordinates can be divided into the groups

$$(x^1, ..., x^n) = (x_\alpha^1, ..., x_\alpha^{n_\alpha})_{\alpha=1}^{t}$$

corresponding to the decomposition of $\mathfrak{h}$ into irreducible parts.

Consider the algebras 2) and 3) from the statement of the theorem. Let

$$g = 2dv^1 du^1 + 2dv^2 du^2 + h + (F_1 + H_1)(du^1)^2 + 2(F_{12} + H_{12}) du^1 du^2 + (F_2 + H_2)(du^2)^2,$$

where $2dv^1du^1+2dv^2du^2+F_1(du^1)^2+2F_{12}du^1du^2+F_2(du^2)^2$ is an Einstein metric with the cosmological constant Λ and the holonomy algebra either $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{R})\subset\mathfrak{so}(2,2)$ or $\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{C})\subset\mathfrak{so}(2,2)$. To get the holonomy algebra 2) it is enough to take $H_{12}=0$, and the functions H_1 and H_2 of coordinates $x^1,...,x^n$ to be sufficient general and satisfying the equations like $\Delta_h H_1=\Delta_h H_2=0$. In the case of the algebra 3), if for some α, $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ is isomorphic to L_α or $\bar{L}_\alpha$, the dependence of H_1, H_{12}, H_2 on the coordinates $x^1_\alpha,...,x^{n_\alpha}_\alpha$ should be more specific, such functions are constructed in [13].

Consider the algebras 4) and 5). Let

$$g=2dv^1du^1+2dv^2du^2+h+(\Lambda(v^1)^2+H_1)(du^1)^2+(\Lambda(v^2)^2+\mu(v^1)^2+H_2)(du^2)^2,$$

where $\mu=0$ for the algebra 4) and $\mu=1$ for the algebra 5) (μ is used to generate $\mathfrak{f}_{12}$); H_1 is a function of $x^1_\alpha,...,x^{n_\alpha}_\alpha$ for all α such that $\mathcal{N}_{1\alpha}\neq 0$, and H_2 is a function of $x^1_\alpha,...,x^{n_\alpha}_\alpha$ for all α such that $\mathcal{N}_{2\alpha}\neq 0$; it is required that $\Delta_h H_1=\Delta_h H_2=0$.

The rigorous proof will be given in another version of this article.

$\Box$

7 Conclusion

Theorem 1 does not state that all the obtained algebras are weakly irreducible holonomy algebras of Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. In Section 6 we have seen that for the case $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(2,n+2)$, almost all of these algebras are weakly irreducible, and it seems that all algebras can be realized as the holonomy algebras of Einstein manifolds. This result suggests that using some combinatorics, the classification for arbitrary signature can be obtained.

We conjecture that the following construction will give examples of Einstein metrics with each holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)$ from Theorem 1. We do not claim that all these metrics are indecomposable, but if the construction works, then it is possible to turn to the indecomposable parts of the metrics, and this will give indecomposable Einstein metrics with all possible weakly irreducible holonomt algebras of Einstein pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.

Let $\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{so}(m+r,m+s)$ be an algebra from Theorem 1. Consider the coordinates

$$(v^1_i,\dots,v^{m_i}_i)^k_{i=1},(x^1_\alpha,\dots x^{n_\alpha}_\alpha)^t_{\alpha=1},(u^1_i,\dots,u^{m_i}_i)^k_{i=1}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{m+r,m+s}$. Let

$$f_i=\sum_{a=1}^{m_i}2dv^a_idu^a_i+\sum_{a,b=1}^{m_i}F_{ab}(v^1_i,...,v^{m_i}_i)du^a_idu^b_i$$

be an Einstein metric with the cosmological constant Λ and the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{f}_i\subset\mathfrak{so}(m_i,m_i)$. Let

$$h_\alpha=\sum_{a,b=1}^{n_\alpha}h^\alpha_{ab}(x^1_\alpha,...,x^{n_\alpha}_\alpha)dx^a_\alpha dx^b_\alpha$$

be an Einstein metric with the cosmological constant Λ and the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha\subset\mathfrak{so}(n_\alpha)$. Then the metric

$$g=f+h=\sum_{i=1}^k f_i+\sum_{\alpha=1}^t h_\alpha$$

is Einstein with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{f}_0\oplus\mathfrak{h}$. This metric is decomposable. Next, if some of $\mathfrak{f}_{ij}$, $N_{i\alpha}$ or $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$ is non-trivial, then we add to g the following terms:

$$f_{ij}=\sum_{a,b=1}^{m_j}F^{ij}_{ab}(v^1_i,...,v^{m_i}_i)du^a_jdu^b_j,\quad n_{i\alpha}=\sum_{a,b=1}^{m_i}N^{i\alpha}_{ab}(x^1_\alpha,...,x^{n_\alpha}_\alpha)du^a_idu^b_i,$$

$$c_{ij}=\sum_{a=1}^{m_i}\sum_{b=1}^{m_j}C^{ij}_{ab}(u_i^1,...,u_i^{m_i},u_j^1,...,u_j^{m_j})du_i^a du_j^b.$$

The additional terms will give some additional components to the curvature tensor of the metric $f+h$, these components will take values in $V_j^*\otimes V_i$, $V_i\otimes L_\alpha$, and $V_i\otimes V_j$. The Einstein condition and the condition on the holonomy algebra to be $\mathfrak{g}$ will give equations on the functions F^{ij}_{ab}, $N^{i\alpha}_{ab}$, C^{ij}_{ab}.

Acknowledgements. I am thankful to Lionel Bérard Bergery for sending me the paper in preparation [3].

References

[1] D. V. Alekseevsky, *Riemannian manifolds with exceptional holonomy groups*, Funksional Anal. i Prilozhen. 2 (1968), no. 2, 1-10.

[2] L. Bérard Bergery, A. Ikemakhen, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes de signature* (n,n)*.* Bull. Soc. Math. France 125 (1997), no. 1, 93–114.

[3] L. Bérard Bergery, *L'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes I: Le cas totalement réductible.* In preparation.

[4] M. Berger, *Sur les groupers d'holonomie des variétés àconnexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279–330.

[5] M. Berger, *Les espace symétriques non compacts*, Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup. V.74 (1957), 85–177.

[6] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.

[7] N. I. Bezvitnaya, *Holonomy groups of pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifolds of non-zero scalar curvature.* Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 39 (2011), no. 1, 99-105.

[8] N. I. Bezvitnaya, *Holonomy algebras of pseudo-hyper-Kählerian manifolds of index 4.* arXiv:1003.4014.

[9] R. Bryant, Classical, exceptional, and exotic holonomies: a status report. Actes de la Table Ronde de Géométrie Différentielle (Luminy, 1992), Semin. Congr., 1, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1996), 93–165.

[10] A. S. Galaev, T. Leistner, *Holonomy groups of Lorentzian manifolds: classification, examples, and applications.* Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, 53–96, ESI Lect. Math. Phys., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.

[11] A. S. Galaev, T. Leistner, *Recent development in pseudo-Riemannian holonomy theory.* Handbook of Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry, 528–628, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. Vol. 16, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010.

[12] A. S. Galaev, *Holonomy of Einstein Lorentzian manifolds.* Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 075008.

[13] A. S. Galaev, *Holonomy groups and special geometric structures of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2.* Berlin: Humboldt-Univ., Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultat II (Dissertation). 135 p. (2006). Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0612392

[14] D. Joyce, *Riemannian holonomy groups and calibrated geometry.* Oxford University Press (2007).

[15] A. Ikemakhen, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes de signature* $(2, 2+n)$. Publ. Mat. 43 (1999), no. 1, 55–84.

[16] A. G. Walker, *On parallel fields of partially null vector spaces.* Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser., 20 (1949), 135–145.

[17] H. Wu, *On the de Rham decomposition theorem.* Illinois J. Math. 8 (1964), 291–311.